

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,353	06/18/2001	Glenn R. Engel	10003415-1	2420
7590 06/27/2006			EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			PATEL, NIKETA I	
Legal Department, DL429 P. O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2181	

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/884,353	ENGEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Niketa I. Patel	2181				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/12</u>	<u>//2006</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-42</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-42</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-42</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 June 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	• • •	. Itam House				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed. Flam 7 cmo				
	Spervissin	PRIMARY EXAMINER 6/13/Look				
		GROUP 2100 7 1/Loob				
Attachment(s)		A42181				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/21/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 21-22, 24, 26, 29, 33-34, 36 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rogers et al. U.S. Patent Number: 6,405,111 B2 (hereinafter 'Rogers'.)
- 4. **Referring to claim 21, 33**, *Rogers* teaches a system and a method comprising: a device [figure 4, elements 200, 210] that is capable of performing at least one behavior according to a set of configuration data [see column 9, lines 11-50, the examiner construes the functionality as configuration data], the device generating an HTTP request on a communication network [see column 9, liens 11-22, 'HTTP'] such that the HTTP specifies a URL [see column 9, liens 23-28, the examiner construes the TCP/IP address as the URL] associated with the configuration data

Art Unit: 2181

[see column 9, lines 31-33, various functionalities]; configuration server that responds to the HTTP request by generating an HTTP response on the communication network such that the HTTP response carries the configuration data to the device [see column 9, lines 11-15, 28-33 and column 10- lines 55-66, 'the controller 220 provides functionality to the automotive service equipments'.]

- 5. **Referring to claims 22, 34**, *Rogers* teaches wherein the HTTP request is an HTTP POST that includes a set of data generated by the behavior of the device [see column 10, lines 5-9, 'raw data'.]
- 6. **Referring to claim 24, 36,** *Rogers* teaches wherein the data is associated with an error detected by the device [see column 11, lines 54-61, 'data related to equipment problems diagnoses'.]
- 7. **Referring to claim 26, 38**, *Rogers* teaches wherein the data includes a set of measurements obtained by the device [see column 10, lines 5-9, 'raw data'.]
- 8. **Referring to claim 29**, *Rogers* teaches a local network that enables communication among the device and a set of additional devices [see column 11, lines 27-47, 'local HTTP network at the shop'.]

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2181

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 10. Claims 23, 25, 35, 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogers et al. U.S. Patent Number: 6,405,111 B2 (hereinafter 'Rogers') and further in view of U.S Patent Application Publication No: 2005/0210296 A1 (hereinafter 'Devine'.)
- 11. **Referring to claim 23, 25, 35, 37**, *Rogers* teaches collecting various types of data from the device and transferring it to the host controller [see column 10, lines 5-9, 'raw data'] however fails to teach wherein the data is associated with a periodic heartbeat of the device and an alarm detected by the device. *Devine* teaches collecting a heartbeat and an alarm data in order to determine the status of the device connected to the network [see paragraph 132.]

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous for the device configuration system of *Rogers* to be able to monitor status of the device by collecting a heartbeat and an alarm data. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to collect data associated with heartbeat and an alarm data.

- 12. Claims 27-28, 30-32, 39 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogers et al. U.S. Patent Number: 6,405,111 B2 (hereinafter '*Rogers*') as applied to claims 21, 33 above, and further in view of Kobata et al. U.S. Patent Number: 6,591,367 (hereinafter referred to as "*Kobata*".)
- 13. **Referring to claims 27, 39**, *Rogers* teaches receiving the configuration data form a workstation [see column 9, lines 11-15, 28-33 and column 10- lines 55-66] *Rogers* does not set forth the limitation of setting a configuration pending indicator however *Kobata* teaches this

Application/Control Number: 09/884,353

Art Unit: 2181

limitation [see *Kobata* column 4, lines 22-33.] One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous for the device configuration system of *Rogers* for providing protection from unauthorized use of data by a system. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use configuration pending indicator to provide protection form unauthorized use of data by a system.

Page 5

- 14. **Referring to claim 28**, teachings of *Rogers* as modified by the teachings of *Kobata* teaches wherein the configuration server transfers the configuration data to the device in the HTTP request if the configuration pending indicator is set and transfers an acknowledgement to the device otherwise [see *Kobata* column 4, lines 22-33.]
- 15. **Referring to claims 30, 40, 41**, teachings of *Rogers* as modified by the teachings of *Kobata* teaches wherein the HTTP request includes a configuration change message that indicates that a specified one of the additional devices has a set of pending configuration data on the configuration server however *Kobata* teaches this limitation [see *Kobata* column 4, lines 22-33.] One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous for the device configuration system of *Mendez* for providing protection from unauthorized use of data by a system. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use configuration pending indicator to provide protection form unauthorized use of data by a system.
- 16. **Referring to claim 31**, teachings of *Rogers* as modified by the teachings of *Kobata* teaches wherein the deivce transfers the configuration change message to the specified one of the additional devices via the local network [see *Rogers* see column 9, lines 11-15, 28-33 and column 10- lines 55-66.]

Art Unit: 2181

17. **Referring to claims 32, 42,** teachings of *Rogers* as modified by the teachings of *Kobata* teaches wherein the specified one of the additional devices obtains the pending configuration data by transferring an additional request message to the configuration server via the communication network [see *Kobata* column 4, lines 22-33.]

Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 21-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niketa I. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272 4156. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fritz Fleming can be reached on (571) 272 4145. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

FRITZFLEMING
FRIMARY EXAMINER 6/23/1006
GROUP 2100
AUL (8)

Art Unit: 2181

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NP 06/21/2006